

Decisions of Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change with respect to import and export of hazardous waste under the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 as approved by Secretary (E&F) on the basis of recommendation of the 53rd meeting of Expert Committee held during 3rd-4th December 2014 for appraisal of such applications.

AGENDA ITEM 2.1: IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES ETC

2.1.1 M/s Abbott Medical Optics Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (No.23-54/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import (2 refurbished parts for medical equipment).

2.1.2 M/s Nokia Solutions and Networks India P. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-69/2011):

Decision: After presentation by the applicant, the Ministry recommended the import (76 Nos. used networking equipment with accessories).

2.1.3 M/s Shiva Scientific Company, New Delhi (No.23-257/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that the equipment has already been imported because of lack of awareness of the procedure. The equipment is a high-resolution system and it is meant only for training and demonstration purpose and not for actual use. The equipment is 2002 make and has been refurbished in 2014. It will be sent back within three years. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.4 M/s Groz-Beckert Asia (P) Ltd., Chandigarh (No.23-25/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the proposal is to import used and refurbished grinding machine. This is predominantly a mechanical machine except the motor and as such does not require permission of this Ministry.

2.1.5 M/s SRF Ltd., Gurgaon. (No.23-216/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that these items are being imported from their own office in Dubai which has now been closed. These items are required because of compatibility with their existing system. They are accompanied by CEC. The Ministry therefore recommended the import (3 servers and 1 data storage Device).

2.1.6 M/s Alcatel Lucent India Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-68/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import (1,115 +117pcs of used telecommunication, switches/networking equipment and accessories).

2.1.7 M/s Apple India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-198/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant did not come for the presentation. The Ministry therefore deferred the decision.

2.1.8 M/s General Instrument Corporation India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-181/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The applicant has not submitted the CEC yet. The Ministry therefore deferred the decision.

2.1.9 M/s Honeywell Technology Solutions Lab Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-26/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import of 40 used electronic goods for testing, analysis and validation purpose.

2.1.10 M/s R Yadav Surgical and Rekha Eye Hospital, Rewari (23-223/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant who came for technical discussion informed that the item has already been imported because of lack of awareness of the procedure. It has been imported for his own use by the surgeon himself. It is meant only for refraction and is accompanied by a CEC. The Ministry recommended the import (Auto Refractor/Keratometer for corrective eye surgery).

2.1.11 M/s Aricent Technologies (Holdings) Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-30/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import of 13+39 nos of used telecommunication equipment with accessories and networking equipment and telecom equipment with stipulation that the items will be exported back within 30 months.

2.1.12 M/s Sapphire Digital Printers, Gurgaon (No.23-178/2014-HSMD):

Decision: During presentation by the importer, the Committee was informed that these items (import 01+01 nos. of used HP Indigo Digital Press with accessories and spares) have been already imported because of lack of awareness on its part. The items are of 2005 make and have been imported from the 3rd party and not the original manufacturer. There is no document to show that these have been refurbished and there is no CEC from the exporting country. The only certificate is from an India Chartered Engineer who has obviously given the certificate based on visual conditions. The Ministry therefore did not recommend the import and suggested re-export.

2.1.13 M/s Sai Hivac Enterprises, Ghaziabad (No.23-214/2014-HSMD):

Decision: During earlier consideration in the 52nd Meeting, the Committee had been informed that the item has already been imported. The Committee was informed that this item is for providing leak detection services to various fabricators. As per the refurbisher abroad, it is 2009 make and has been refurbished by a well-known company namely Orlicon. On reconsideration, the Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.14 M/s Intel Mobile Communications, Bangalore (No.23-76/2011-HSMD):

Decision: Since the applicant did not come for presentation the matter was deferred by the Ministry.

2.1.15 M/s Alere Medical Pvt. Ltd., Rewari (No.23-97/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The representative could not provide the details of the equipment like year of manufacture, year of refurbishment and the CEC. He also could not provide justification for import of such a large number of items. The Ministry therefore deferred the decision.

2.1.16 M/s Alps Electric (India) P. Ltd., Gurgaon (No.23-236/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The committee noted that the machine is 2007 make and has been reconditioned as per CEC from Japan. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.17 M/s Applied Materials India P. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-116/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that these items are being imported from their parent company in USA for R&D purpose. Moreover, these items have been assembled by the company itself and are meant for design modification and validation of chips. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.18 M/s IBM India P. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-30/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that these items are being imported for testing and debugging purpose and are being imported from their principal company in USA and will be sent back within 18 months. The Ministry therefore recommended the import.

2.1.19 M/s Delphi Automotive Systems P. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-183/2014-HSMD)

Decision: During the presentation the Committee was informed that these items have been imported by the applicant for the first time because of lack of awareness on his part. These items are for software development and testing purpose and will be sent back within two years. On reconsideration, the Ministry recommended the import (13 used/second hand electronic goods for automotive software development and testing purpose).

2.1.20 M/s Wipro GE Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.5-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that these are second hand and refurbished spare parts and are being imported to replace defective parts in the medical equipment supplied by them to various users. The Committee was also informed that the equivalent number of defective components will be exported. The Ministry therefore recommended the import (7,428 nos. of used medical equipment parts).

2.1.21 M/s Cargill India Pvt. Ltd., Rajpura (No.23-133/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that these items are being imported from their parent company in USA where they were in use. The Year of Manufacture is 2002 and the CEC from USA has certified the residual life to be five years. These items will be used for testing the quality of the cattle feed being manufactured by the company. The Ministry recommended the import (2 FOSS Feed and Forage Near Infrared Analyzer).

2.1.22 M/s Hollister Medical India Pvt. Ltd., Bawal (Haryana) (No.23-231/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that this equipment is being imported from a unit in Ireland belonging to their principal in USA and will be used for catheter production in their plant. It is 2010 make and has a CEC. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.23 M/s E-Info-chips Ltd., Ahmedabad (No.23-140/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that their application was received in June, 2014 and the some additional documents were required to be supplied by the company. Now the company has sent the documents but from their letter it is observed that the items has already been imported and has been received at Mumbai Airport. However, during presentation the representative stated that the import has not yet taken place and the statement in the letter has been wrongly written. The Committee has asked the representative to produce:

- (a) A letter from the Managing Director that no import so far has been made.
- (b) An authentication of this fact by the Custom Authorities at Mumbai Airport.

The Ministry therefore deferred the decision.

2.1.24 M/s Ambros Exports P. Ltd., New Delhi (No.23-228/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant had earlier imported used equipment without obtaining permission from the Ministry. At that time the import due to lack of awareness of the procedure was permitted. This is now a second application from M/s Ambros Exports P. Ltd., New Delhi and despite knowing that without prior permission from this Ministry, imports are not allowed. In this instance, the consignment has already arrived at ICD, Tughlakabad. The Ministry therefore recommended not to allow such illegal imports and suggested re-export.

2.1.25 M/s Hewlett-Packard India Sales Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (23-31/2011):

Decision: The Committee noted that the case pertains to import of refurbished spare parts, therefore the CEC from the exporting country may not be required.

2.1.26 M/s Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Lucknow (No.23-71/2012-HSMD)

Decision: The committee noted that the aircraft part are coming for repair and would be re-exported after repair. The Ministry therefore, recommended the import (93 EEAs).

2.1.27 M/s Phantom Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi (No.23-41/2013-HSMD)

Decision: The committee noted that the application was received initially in February, 2013 and the items have already been imported. The applicant had been asked to provide justification to import and submit the other necessary documents. The applicant had not so far able to submit the necessary documents. The Ministry suggested calling the applicant for making presentation in the next meeting.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2.2.: IMPORT OF BATTERY/LEAD SCRAP ETC.:

2.2.1 M/s Sandeep Lead Alloys (India) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-252/2014-HSMD):

Decision: From the documents submitted by the applicant, the committee noted that the unit does not have scrubber (Alkaline). The unit may be asked to install an alkaline scrubber and submit the evidence of having installed the suggested equipment. The Ministry deferred the decision.

2.2.2 M/s Sarita Metal Refinery, Thane (No.5-31/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Since, the unit does not have battery breaking plant, full battery scrap (Rains) cannot be recommended. The applicant insisted only on Rains, the Ministry therefore did not recommend the import.

2.2.3 M/s Arya Alloys Pvt. Ltd., Bhiwadi, Alwar (Raj.) (no.23-34/2009-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import of 2,400 MT of Lead Scrap (Radio, Racks, Rakes, Ropes, Rents, Relay and Rails).

2.2.4 M/s Shree Metals (Mujbi) Pvt. Ltd., Bhandara (No.23-176/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import of 12 00MT of (Radio, Ropes, Rails, Racks, Rakes and Relays).

2.2.5 M/s Raj Metal Industries, Kolkata (No.23-40/2010-HSMD)

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import of 2800 MT of Lead Scrap (Radio, Racks, Rakes, Ropes, Rents, Relay and Rails).

AGENDA NO.2.3: IMPORT OF PET BOTTLE SCRAP/PLASTIC SCRAP/LDPE/POLYURETHANE FOAM SCRAP:

2.3.1 M/s Jain Tubes Corporation, Falna (Raj.) (No.17-18/2009-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has now submitted the CTO valid till 2019. The Committee therefore recommended the import of 330 MT of cellulose and virgin plastic scrap/waste.

2.3.2 M/s Shakti Plastic Industries, Thane (No.5-24/2012-HSMD):

Decision: So far the committee has been following the practice of giving one third of the installed capacity at one time so that they also process local waste material. Since, as per that practice they have been recommended one third of their installed capacity, there is no case for increasing it at this stage. After completing import of the approved quantity they can again apply for import.

2.3.3 M/s Pashupati Polytex Pvt. Ltd., Kashipur (No.17-4/2011-HSMD):

Decision: Since, in this case the earlier recommendation was of lesser quantity than one third of installed capacity, additional import of 6000 MT of used PET Bottle/PET Flakes/PET Lumps/PET Waste/Scrap is recommended. The Committee however recommended site visit subsequently, particularly in view of large size of the unit by the sub-Committee comprising of Shri G.S. Dang and Shri Anand Kumar, CPCB.

2.3.4 M/s Nirmal Fibres (Pvt.) Ltd., Gajrola (U.P) (No.17-20/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import of 5000 MT of scrap PET bottles and PET flakes.

2.3.5 M/s Dharmesh Textiles Ltd., Bhiwani (Haryana) (17-18/2014) :

Decision: The Ministry recommended site visit by the sub-Committee comprising of Shri K.P. Nyati and Shri Anand Kumar, CPCB.

AGENDA NO.2.4: IMPORT OF RUBBER SCRAP ETC.:

2.4.1 M/s Ambuja Cement Ltd., Gujarat (No.23-12/2009-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import of 50000 MT of Tyre Chips on the basis of about 15% of the coal requirement in the pre-calciner.

2.4.2 Pramukh Pyrolysis Project, Surat (No.5-3/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has come second time. In earlier instance import of 700 MT of used tyres for making rubber powder was allowed. The Ministry now recommends import of 700 MT of old and used rubber tyres (Cut/shredded/press baled).

2.4.3 M/s Markap Resources Pvt. Ltd., Abu Road (No.23-259/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the presentation and the video, the Ministry recommended the first instance import of 6,000 MT of used rubber tyres/tubes scrap/non-radial/radial tyres/tubes scrap (Press Baled/shredded/cut into one, two or more multiple cuts). During the processing of this material a site visit will be conducted and further import would be considered after receipt of authenticated information

on the quantity of the products manufactured and to whom they were sold.

2.4.4 M/s Eswar Rubber Products Pvt. Ltd., Salem (NO.23-169/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the presentation and their video shown, the Ministry recommended 5000 MT of used rubber tyre scrap (Cut into pcs/molded rubber waste) for manufacturing of Butyle reclaimed rubber.

2.4.5 M/s Sreemrr Eco Green Industries Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (No.23-134/2013-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the documents and photographs submitted by the applicant, the Ministry recommended in the first instance 4000 MT of Old & Used Rubber Tyre (Cut/shredded/baled press) for manufacturing of crumb rubber. During the processing of this material a site visit will be conducted by Zonal Office, CPCB and further import would be considered after receipt of authenticated information on the quantity of the products manufactured and to whom they were sold.

2.4.6 M/s S&J Granulate Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (No.5-19/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee suggested that the applicant may be asked to furnish the data regarding quantity of product(s) manufactured and to whom those products were supplied with documentary evidence.

2.4.7 M/s Mandakan Energy Products, Kerala (No.23-270/2014-HSMD):

Decision: As of now the Committee is not considering import of waste rubber tyre for manufacturing carbon black and oil by pyrolysis process. The matter is therefore deferred by the Ministry.

2.4.8 M/s S.E. Power Ltd., Vadodara (No.5-36/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the documents and the video sent by the applicant, the Ministry recommended import of 1000 MT of used/old Rubber tyre scrap/parings/tyre scraps rubber/tube scraps/cut into pcs./moulded rubber waste in the first instance. During the processing of this material a site visit will be conducted by the Zonal Office of CPCB, Vadodara and further import would be considered after receipt of authenticated information on the quantity of the products manufactured and to whom they were sold.

AGENDA NO.2.5: IMPORT OF USED OIL ETC.:

2.5.1 M/s Bharathi Cement Corporation Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (No.23-248/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee had earlier recommended import of 500 MT of SRF for trial at ACC with a view to establish the economic viability and adverse environmental impact if any. The Committee is of the view that a trial of this nature at two locations would be desirable for taking a decision of suitability of this material and subsequently setting up facilities for making similar material from the waste generated in the country. However, it was noted that the applicant

has not submitted Form 7&8 which is pre-requisite for consideration of such applications by the Committee. The Ministry deferred the decision on the application.

2.5.2 M/s Divya Refineries Pvt .Ltd., Satna (M.P.) (No.23-261/2014-HSMD):

Decision: On the basis of presentation, the Ministry recommended import of 1200 KL of used oil (A-3020 waste mineral oils unfit for their originally intended use).

2.5.3 M/s Alicid Organic Industries Ltd., Mehsana (Gujarat) (No. 23-262/2014-HSMD):

Decision: On the basis of presentation, the Ministry recommended import of 1200 KL of used oil (A-3020 waste mineral oils unfit for their originally intended use).

2.5.4 M/s Kheezar Industries, Bhavnagar (No.23-264/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the CTO cum Authorization submitted by the applicant has expired and accordingly, the Ministry deferred the decision till receipt of valid CTO and Authorization.

AGENDA NO.2.6: IMPORT OF E-WASTE:

2.6.1 M/s Exigo Recycling Pvt. Ltd., Panipat (Haryana) (No.23-99/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee went through the site inspection report and observed that the plant was not operating at the time of the visit. The representative of the unit also could not explain the detailed process of recovery of all the metals, the quantity recovered so far and the sale thereof. From the report of the sub-committee and the subsequent presentation of the applicant in this Meeting, the Committee is of the view that the unit does not have yet the capability for complete recycling of the electronic waste. In view of this, the Committee does not recommend any import at this stage.

While discussing this case the Committee also deliberated on the strategy to be adopted for allowing import of E-waste by other applicants. Based on the visits conducted so far to other units and on the presentations before the Committee, the Committee is of the view that unless complete recycling of E-waste for recovering all the useful items (iron, aluminium, glass, plastic, copper, tin, lead and precious metals) is established and demonstrated, the permission for import of E-waste may not be considered.

AGENDA NO.3.1: EXPORT OF SPENT CATALYST OF AUTOMOBILE/BATTERIES SCRAP ETC/E-WASTE:

3.1.1 M/s Enviro Metals Waste Management India Pvt. Ltd., Kanchipuram (T.N.) (No.23-219/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee recommended export of 720 MT of E-waste (PCBs).