

Decisions of Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change with respect to import and export of hazardous waste under the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 as approved by the Competent Authority on the basis of recommendations of the 59th meeting of Expert Committee held during 22nd and 23rd June 2015 for appraisal of such applications.

AGENDA ITEM 2.1: IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES ETC

2.1.1 M/s Hollister Medical India Pvt. Ltd., Bawal, Haryana (23-231/2012-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee is of the view that the proposed mould (Grommet Hot Runner Mold) to be imported is essentially a solid piece of metal and has no electrical and electronic component. Therefore the applicant does not need the permission of this Ministry.*

2.1.2 M/s Abbott Medical Optics Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-25/2012-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee recommended the import of 6 optic refurbished assemblies from Singapore and the faulty parts/components shall be re-exported within a period of one year.*

2.1.3 M/s Honeywell Technology Solutions Lab Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: *:- In view of this Ministry's O.M. no. 23-196/2014-HSMD, dated 16th July 2015, used computer, servers and accessories to be re-exported after more than one year will not be considered for the approval of import and hence the application with regard to import of 60 used electrical and electronic equipment is rejected by the Ministry.*

2.1.4 M/s Duncan Hospital, Raxaul, Bihar (F. No. 23-96/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee observed that the item (used medical equipment) has already been imported without prior permission; the Committee also noted that the year of manufacture is not*

written on the machine and only has been estimated by Chartered Engineer as 2007 make. Since, the equipment is meant for critical medical application, the Committee is not inclined to recommend such old equipment as a special case. The Ministry therefore did not recommend the import and suggested re-export.

2.1.5 M/s National Buildings Construction Corporation Ltd (NBCCL), New Delhi (F. No. 23-204/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import of testing equipment for PG testing of cooling tower at NTPC, Vindhyachal STTP-IV(2x500 MW) and Rihand STTP- III(2x500MW) as it will be returned back within a period of one month of testing.

2.1.6 M/s Hewlett-Packard India Sales Private Limited (F. No. 23-31/2011-HSMD):

Decision: In view of this Ministry's O.M. no. 23-196/2014-HSMD, dated 16th July 2015, medical equipment and other such electronic and electric equipment which are more than 3 years old will not be considered for the approval of import and hence the applications with regard to import of three digital printing press are rejected.

2.1.6 (a) M/s Hewlett-Packard Enterprises India Pvt. Limited (F. No. 23-31/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the acknowledgement submitted by the applicant was from DPCC office whereas the import is for the Gurgaon office. The applicant has been asked to submit revised application with regard to import of 33,000 refurbished CD/DVD(ODD/FDD), Hard Disk Drives, flash Drives/Solid State Drive, Power supply, PPCB, System Boards, Tape Drives, other storage devices, processors and also obtain acknowledgement of the application from the concerned State Pollution Control Board/Pollution Control Committee.

2.1.7 M/s Philips India Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-207/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the year of manufacture is 2007 for four, 2009 for two and 2010 for two machines (medical equipment-ultrasound) respectively. In view of this Ministry's OM no. 23-196/2014-HSMD, dated 16th July 2015, Medical equipment and other such electronic and electric equipment which are more than 3 years old will not be

considered for the approval of import, the application is rejected by the Ministry.

2.1.8 M/s Ericsson India Pvt. Ltd., Karol Bagh, (F. No. 23-81/2011-HSMD):

Decision: *In view of this Ministry's OM no. 23-196/2014-HSMD, dated 16th July 2015, used computer, servers and accessories to be re-exported after more than one year will not be considered for the approval of import and hence the application with regard to import of 10,558 Electrical and Electronic Assemblies is rejected by the Ministry.*

2.1.9 M/s Oberthur Technologies India Pvt. Ltd., Noida (F. No. 23-94/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee was informed that the applicant has a no. of such machines (2nd hand used "Card Personalization Machine") and one of them is from the same manufacturer and of the similar year of manufacture. His experience on the performance of this machine was satisfactory. From the description of the machine, the Committee observed that most of the modules are carrying out mechanical operations. Further, the applicant committed that in case the machine becomes non-functional within the next five years he will re-export it back to the principals. The Committee has asked the applicant to give in writing the above commitment based on which the Ministry will re-consider the case in the next meeting.*

2.1.10 M/s HCL Technologies Ltd., Noida (F. No. 23-77/2011-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee observed that these items (7 electronic equipment) have already been imported without prior permission of this Ministry. The Committee also noted that this is not the first case of import by this applicant. The Committee was informed that these items are not meant for any strategic application. In view of this, the Ministry did not recommend the import and the same has to be exported back as per HWM Rules.*

2.1.11 M/s Alcatel-Lucent India Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-68/2011-HSMD (pt.):

Decision: *The Committee observed that these items (2nd hand electronic equipment) have been imported in Sep 2014 and were to be re-exported within six months from the date of import. Now the importer has requested for further six months for trial purpose*

after which the items will be re-exported back. The Ministry recommended the extension up till September 2015.

2.1.12 M/s Samsung India Electronics Pvt. Ltd., Noida (F.No. 23-86/2011-HSMD):

Decision: *All the five electronic items to be imported are for testing and calibration purpose and will be re-exported within three months. The Ministry therefore recommended the import of 5 nos. of electronic equipment from Korea.*

2.1.13 M/s Phantom HealthCare Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi (F. No. 23-41/2013-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee noted the medical equipment (MRI Scanner) has already been imported without permission of this Ministry. In addition, this equipment is of 2004 make as per the CEC. Considering these two aspects the Ministry did not recommend the import and the applicant may be directed to re-export the same.*

2.1.14 M/s Master Medical Systems, Faridabad (F. No. 23-42/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee noted the medical equipment has already been imported without permission of this Ministry. In addition, the equipment are of 2000-2003 make as per the CEC. Considering these two aspects the Ministry did not recommend the import and the applicant may be directed to re-export the same.*

2.1.15 M/s Freescale Semiconductor India Pvt. Ltd., Noida (F. No. 23-136/2013-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee noted that the testing equipment proposed to be imported is 2002 make. The Committee has been following the general guidelines that electronic equipment with year of manufacture earlier than 5 years should not be permitted. Based on the Committee's recommendation and in view of the Ministry's OM no. 23-196/2014-HSMD, dated 16th July 2015 of this Ministry, Medical equipment and other such electronic and electric equipment which are more than 3 years old will not be considered for the approval of import, the application is rejected by the Ministry.*

2.1.16 M/s Anand Brothers, Karampura, New Delhi (F. No. 23-172/2014-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee observed that the Company selling the equipment has obtained the certificate labelled as CEC from one of its own employee. The Committee therefore cannot take the CEC as authentic because the employee is an interested party. The Committee also noted that there are two separate applications. In order to understand the nature of the equipment, the Ministry recommended that the applicant may be called for presentation in the next meeting.*

2.1.17: Groz Beckert(F. No. 23-25/2012-HSMD):

Decision: *To understand the nature of the proposed equipment (Magnetizer for clamps type M22260101), the Ministry suggested that the applicant may be asked to make a presentation in the next meeting.*

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2.2.: IMPORT OF BATTERY/LEAD SCRAP/TITANIUM SCRAP ETC.

2.2.1 :M/s Sri Vaaru Metallurgicals Pvt. Ltd., Kolar, Karnataka (F. No. 23-17/2011-HSMD (pt.):

Decision: *The Committee noted that the authorization is getting expired on 30th June 2015. The Committee recommended asking the applicant for valid copy of authorization before the application can be considered for the purpose of import.*

2.2.2 M/s Sandeep Lead Alloys (India) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-252/2014-HSMD):

Decision: *From the photographs submitted by the applicant it is not clear whether the scrubber has been installed. The Committee therefore recommended that the proof of having installed alkaline scrubber duly authenticated by the concerned SPCB may be submitted to this Ministry.*

2.2.3 M/s VMCO Alloys, Jammu & Kashmir (23-139/2012-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee noted the change of name from VMCO alloys to Chetan alloys, however, there was no documentary proof of such change of name. The applicant may be asked to submit relevant documents proving that erstwhile VMCO Alloys*

as legal entity does not exist anymore and all the assets have now been transferred in the name of Chetan Alloys.

AGENDA NO.2.3: IMPORT OF PET BOTTLE SCRAP/PLASTIC SCRAP/LDPE/POLYURETHANE FOAM SCRAP:

2.3.1 M/s Shree Bhavani Engineering Works, Mumbai (F. No. 5-10/2015-HSMD):

Decision: In view of the Ministry's OM no. 23-196/2014-HSMD, dated 16th July 2015, PET bottle and other plastic scrap will not be considered for approval of import. Therefore, the application is rejected by the Ministry.

2.3.2 M/s R.K. Plastics, Falna, Rajasthan (F. No. 17-19/2009-HSMD):

Decision: In view of the Ministry's OM no. 23-196/2014-HSMD, dated 16th July 2015, PET bottle and other plastic scrap will not be considered for approval of import. Therefore, the application is rejected by the Ministry.

2.3.3 M/s Vrindavan Plastic Industries, Daman (F. No. 5-19/2015-HSMD):

Decision: In view of the Ministry's OM no. 23-196/2014-HSMD, dated 16th July 2015, PET bottle and other plastic scrap will not be considered for approval of import. Therefore, the application is rejected by the Ministry.

2.3.4 M/s Eastern Cargo Carriers (India) Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (F.No.23-66/2018-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant is proposing to import composite foils of plastic and aluminium. From the process given in the document, it is not clear as to how plastic and aluminium is separated if at all. If not, what kind of sheets they make and for what purpose. The Ministry therefore recommended that the applicant may be invited for presentation in the next meeting along with video CD of the running plant.

2.3.5 M/s International Packaging Products Pvt. Ltd., Silvassa(F. No. 17-28/2009-HSMD):

Decision: In view of the Ministry's OM no. 23-196/2014-HSMD, dated 16th July 2015, PET bottle and other plastic scrap will not

be considered for approval of import. Therefore, the application is rejected by the Ministry.

AGENDA NO.2.4: IMPORT OF RUBBER SCRAP ETC.

2.4.1 M/s Ruchaas Impex Pvt. Ltd., Raigad, Maharashtra (F. No. 23-250/2012-HSMD):

Decision: *Along with the application, the applicant has neither provided any CD nor any photographs. In addition there is no record of any previous site visit on the file. The Committee therefore recommended site visit by Shri. K. P. Nyati, Expert Member. The Committee also recommended that the applicant may be asked to correctly fill Form 7 & 8 and provide details of the users to whom they have supplied the products.*

2.4.2 M/s Tinna Rubber and Infrastructure Ltd., New Delhi (F. No. 5-22/2010-HSMD):

Decision: *The applicant has been granted permissions for import even earlier on the basis of site visit. The Ministry recommended the import of 5000 MT old/used rubber tyres with multiple cuts from Africa, USA, Europe and Gulf for the Haldia Plant.*

2.4.3 M/s Zuari Cement Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-74/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *The Ministry recommended import of 15,000 MT of shredded tyres to be used as a supplementary fuel in the cement industry through co-processing from Italy.*

2.4.4 M/s Ocean Rubber Industries, Amreli, Gujarat (F. No. 23-87/2013-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee observed that neither Form 7 & 8 in terms of previous import details submitted by the applicant are complete nor any Video CD of the operational plant has been submitted. The Committee suggested that the applicant may be asked for presentation along with video CD and information on customers to whom these products have been supplied.*

2.4.5 M/s Shakambari Rubber Pvt. Ltd., Kolkata (F. No. 5-35/2014-HSMD):

Decision: *Based on the video submitted by the applicant showing the improvements made, the Ministry recommended import of 1500 MT automobile rubber tyre scrap with multiple cuts or press baled or loose form or shredded from Taiwan.*

2.4.6 M/s Indian International, Bulandshahr, UP (F. No. 23-97/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *From the video shown by the applicant, it was observed that neither any system has been provided for control of fibre emission in the work environment nor any safety guards have been provided on all the machines. The applicant has been asked to install the aforesaid and submit a fresh CD as evidence of compliance of the above requirement. Further, during presentation, the applicant mentioned that he has already imported one container of 20 MT of scrap tyre without Ministry's permission since he was not aware of the procedure. The Ministry recommended that applicant should be asked to re-export the material before his case is considered.*

2.4.7 M/s Vira Reclaim Rubber Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (Telengana) (F. No. 23-269/2014-HSMD):

Decision: *While the Committee looked at the video of the plant, however the representative of the company being a non-technical person could not answer any query raised by the committee members. The Ministry therefore deferred the case and recommended that the applicant should be asked to send a technical person having understanding of entire manufacturing process.*

2.4.8 M/s Karanja Industries Pvt. Ltd., Bidar, Karnataka (F. No. 23-70/2015-HSMD) :

Decision: *The Committee heard the presentation including the video of the plant. Based on the presentation and the discussion, the Ministry recommended import of 2000 MT of rubber scraps/pairings/tyre scraps /rubber tube scraps/used rubber tyres cut into pieces from UAE.*

2.4.9 M/s Gennext Hitec Rubbers, Vellore (F. No. 5-28/2014-HSMD):

Decision: *The applicant could not obtain the CTO for enhanced capacity for which he was insisting the import permission. The earlier CTO capacity is 720 MTA of crumb rubber. The Committee therefore recommended the import of 300 MT of waste paring of scrap rubber from Italy.*

2.4.10 M/s Asha Import & Export ,Hapur, U.P. (F. No. 23-99/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *Based on the presentation and the video shown, the Ministry recommended import of 3000 MT waste scrap tyres from Kuwait, UK and Qatar.*

2.4.11 M/s Sri Shakti Rubber Industries, Krishna Distt. A.P. (F. No. 23-168/2014-HSMD):

Decision: *After seeing the video of improvement, the Ministry recommended import of 1000 MT of rubber scrap tyres from U.K.*

2.4.12 M/s Someshwara Rubber Products Pvt. Ltd., Rangareddy Distt (F. No. 23-103/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *In the absence of complete information and the latest video of the plant facility, the applicant has been advised to apply again with complete information along with the video of the plant specially showing fire-fighting arrangements, fibre extraction and collection system and the safety guards. Till then the case is deferred by the Ministry.*

2.4.13 M/s S&J Granulate Solutions (P) Ltd., Mumbai (F. No. 5-19/2011-HSMD(pt.):

Decision: *The Committee noted that the applicant was granted permission earlier as well and the applicant had submitted earlier the record and evidence of having processed the imported material and had provided the proof of selling their product of different customers. The Ministry therefore recommended the import of 17,000 of used rubber tyres scrap from UAE, Europe , U.K., Qatar and Kuwait for manufacturing of crumb rubber.*

2.4.14 M/s SNR Reclamation Pvt. Ltd., Ludhiana (F. No. 23-87/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *The Ministry recommended import of 1500 MT of scrap butyl rubber tubes from Pakistan.*

2.4.15 M/s Enar Rubber Reclaim Industries Pvt. Ltd., Kolkata (F. No. 23-83/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *Based on the video shown, the Ministry recommended import of 1500 MT of scrap rubber tyres from U.K. in the first instance. The balance quantity of 1500 MT will be considered after improvements suggested (safety guards on machines and covering around hoods) have been implemented and evidence submitted to the Ministry.*

2.4.16 M/s Astra Corporation, Mohali, Punjab (F. No. 23-102/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *Based on the video and presentation the Ministry recommended import of 1700 MT of used butyl rubber tubes scrap with multiple cuts from Pakistan, Hongkong, Saudi Arabia, Philippines and UK .*

2.4.17 M/s Maruti Reclaim Rubber Industries Pvt. Ltd., Ghaziabad (F. No. 23-82/2015-HSMD) :

Decision: *Based on the video shown, the Ministry recommended import of 1500 MT of scrap rubber tyres from U.K. in the first instance. The balance quantity of 1500 MT will be considered after improvements suggested (safety guards on machines and covering around hoods) have been implemented and evidence submitted to the Ministry.*

2.4.18 M/s Balaji Rubber Industries, Bahadurgarh (F. No. 23-131/2014-HSMD):

Decision: *Based on the video shown and the presentation, the Ministry recommended import of 7,000 MT of old & used Rubber Tyres (Cut/shredded/without cut/ Press Baled) from UK.*

2.4.19 M/s Sree Sai Rubber Works, Chittor (F. No. 23-114/2014-HSMD):

Decision: *The applicant was granted import permission earlier as well. The Ministry recommended import of 2500 MT of used waste scrap tyres with multi-cuts from UK.*

2.4.20 M/s Amar Chand & Sons, Ludhiana (F. No. 23-107/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *During presentation and video, it was observed that the applicant has not yet installed all the equipment required for recycling of scrap tyres. Therefore, the Ministry only considered the import of scrap tubes and recommended import of 2000 MT of only scrap tubes from UAE.*

2.4.21 M/s Coastal Rubbers Pvt. Ltd., A.P.(F. No. 23-29/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *Based on the documentary evidence in respect of improvements suggested by the Committee, the Ministry in the first instance recommended 1000 MT of tyre scrap (multicut/shredded) waste pairing scrap of rubber (other than hard rubber) and powder and granules from Belgium. The import of balance quantity will be considered after the suggested inspection report by CPCB.*

2.4.22 M/s Novartis Energy P. Ltd., Nagpur (No.23-7/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *Based on the documents and the presentation, the applicant had earlier been given permission to import 2000 MT of scrap rubber tyres. The balance quantity of 4000 MT was to be considered for import after site visit. The site visit was conducted on 22nd April 2015 by chairman EC, based on which certain improvements were suggested. The applicant has now submitted photographs of the modifications made as well as has given details of users to whom the product has been supplied. The Ministry recommended import of 4000 MT of scrap rubber tyres.*

AGENDA NO.2.5: IMPORT OF USED OIL.

2.5.1 M/s Global Natural Petro Industries, Jhhajjar (Haryana) (F. No. 23-44/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *After the presentation by the applicant, the Committee observed that the applicant has not submitted proper registration certificate and test certificate from exporter as per Schedule V Part A of Hazardous Waste Rules, 2008. The Committee also asked the applicant to submit another video as per the process flow. The matter is deferred by the Ministry till the above information is received.*

2.5.2 M/s G.S. Bharat Refinery, Jhajar, Haryana (F. No. 23-2/2010-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee observed that the report submitted by the exporter is not proper. The applicant has been asked to obtain a proper report, till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry.*

2.5.3 M/s Allen Oil Corporation Ltd., Meerut, U.P. (F. No. 23-78/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee observed that the report submitted by the exporter is not proper. The applicant has been asked to obtain a proper report, till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry.*

2.5.4 M/s Universal Hydrolubes, Karnal, Haryana (F. No. 23-77/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee recommended the import 5,000 Kl of used oil (waste mineral oil unfit for their originally intended use) from UAE.*

2.5.5 M/s Mangalam Lubricants (P) Ltd., Ranchi (F. No. 23-75/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *During the presentation, the applicant mentioned that since submitting the application, he has changed the source of used oil to be imported. The Committee therefore asked him to re-submit Form 7 & 8 along with the analytical report of the used oil to be imported. The matter will be discussed in the next meeting on receipt of the documents.*

2.5.6 M/s Lubrina Recycling Pvt. Ltd., S. 24 Parganas, West Bengal (F. No. 23-80/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *The Committee observed that the report submitted by the exporter is not proper. The applicant has been asked to obtain a proper report, till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry.*

AGENDA NO 3 : IMPORT OF SPENT CATALYST

3.1.1.M/s Hindustan Platinum Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (F. No. 23-98/2015-HSMD):

Decision: *The applicant is having valid permission under Rule 11 of Hazardous Waste Rules, 2008 from CPCB. The Committee therefore recommended the import of 1500 MT of Spent Catalysts containing precious metals from Middle East and far East Asia.*

--XXXXXX--