

23-196/2014-HSMD

**Decisions of Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change with respect to import and export of hazardous waste under the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 as approved by Secretary (E&F) on the basis of recommendation of the 54<sup>th</sup> meeting of Expert Committee held during 5<sup>th</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> January 2015 for appraisal of such applications.**

**AGENDA ITEM 2.1: IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES ETC**

**2.1.1 M/s U-Prints, New Delhi (No.23-220/2013-HSMD)**

**Decision:** On the basis of comments of Department of IT, the Committee recommended that the applicant's facility for manufacturing computer monitors/ TV may be visited essentially to make sure that the applicant is not merely stocking the refurbished data-graphic display tubes and selling them as such, but they actually manufacture computer monitors/ TV.

**2.1.2 M/s Nokia Solutions and Networks India P. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-69/2011-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee noted that all the five items are being imported for testing purpose and will be re-exported within three years. The Ministry recommended the import.

**2.1.3 M/s Samsung India Electronics P. Ltd., Noida (No.23-86/2011-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee noted that the equipment to be imported are meant for calibration purpose and shall be re-exported within three months. The Ministry recommended the import (five used equipment).

**2.1.4 M/s MSYs Tech India Pvt. Ltd., Chennai (No.23-281/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The committee noted that the equipment is being imported for testing purpose and they will be re-exported within 18 months. The Ministry recommended the import of 10 nos. of used computer parts.

**2.1.5 M/s Maharishi Ved Vigyan Vishwa Peetham, New Delhi (No.23-253/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee noted that two nos. of old and used video cassette recorders have already been imported and the residual life is eight years as per the CEC. The import is for the first time and the applicant informed that being the spiritual institution they were not aware about the existing procedure for import/ export of second hand EEAs. The Ministry recommended the import of two old and used video cassette recorders.

**2.1.6 M/s Philips India Limited, Gurgaon (No.23-207/2013-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee considered the two applications; the first one pertains to import of one magnet for MRI and two refurbished ultrasound machines. The Ministry recommends the import of these items.

The second application pertains to import of refurbished two MRI machines and one Mammography machine. While MRI machines are yet to be imported, the Mammography machine has already been imported without prior permission from this Ministry. The applicant is well-versed with the HWM Rules, 2008 and is a regular importer. The Ministry therefore recommends :

- (a) Import of two MRI machines.
- (b) Re-export of Mammography machine which have been imported without prior permission from this Ministry.

**2.1.7 M/s Apple India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-198/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The applicant was invited for making presentation and provide whatever clarifications needed by the Committee. The applicant did not turn up in the last two meetings. The Ministry therefore recommends that their application may be de-listed and the applicant may be asked to furnish fresh application.

**2.1.8 M/s Honeywell Technology Solutions Lab Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-26/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The application pertains to import 227 nos of used electronic goods for testing, analysis and validation purpose. These items will be re-exported within 24 months. The Ministry therefore recommended the import.

**2.1.9 M/s ADS Diagnostic Ltd., New Delhi (No.23-274/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee recommended the import (re-manufactured/second hand radiological low radiation electronic equipment).

**2.1.10 M/s Manas Geo Tech India Pvt Ltd., New Delhi (No.23-284/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The application pertains to import of one set of second hand plant for production of garged needle punched non-woven geo-textiles. This plant has 15 separate mostly mechanical items. The Committee therefore is of the view that entire plant is mechanical and does not need permission of this Ministry.

**2.1.11 M/s Sonus Networks India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-256/2014-HSMD):**

**Decision:** The Ministry recommended the import of one number of used servers with accessories since it is of 2012 make and shall be re-exported within a period of 24 months from the date of issue of permission by MoEF&CC.

**2.1.12 M/s Livingston India Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (No.23-156/2012-HSMD)**

**Decision:** There are two applications from the company where a request is made for addition of exporting country, namely, in addition to UK, Malaysia and US which may be accepted. While amending the permissions granted earlier, Committee also recommends that the condition for re-export may be modified reflecting that permission will be valid subject to re-export within three years from the date of issue of permission by MoEF&CC instead of from the date of import. In the permissions granted earlier, additions with respect to the make of the items to be imported may also be incorporated. The Ministry recommended the above amendments in the permissions granted earlier.

FR3: The Ministry recommended the import of 80 nos of testing and measuring instruments as the imported items will be re-exported within three years from the date of issue of permission by MoEF&CC.

**2.1.13 M/s Keysight Technologies India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-150/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee noted that the requisite information has still not been received. The decision on the matter has been deferred and the applicant was called for presentation in the next meeting.

**2.1.14 M/s Baxter (India) Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (No.23-11/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee suggested that the applicant may be called for presentation in the next meeting to explain the function of the machine for which these items are proposed to be imported and whether they are replacement of defective items.

**2.1.15 M/s Intel Mobile Communications, Bangalore (No.23-76/2011-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The applicant was invited for making presentation and provide whatever clarifications needed by the Committee. The applicant did not turn up in the last two meetings. The Ministry therefore recommends that their application may be de-listed and the applicant may be asked to furnish fresh application.

**2.1.16 M/s Alere Medical Pvt. Ltd., Rewari (No.23-97/2011-HSMD)**

**Decision:** Since no further information has been received nor the applicant has come for presentation, the case was deferred.

**2.1.17 M/s E- Infochips Ltd., Ahmedabad (No.23-140/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee noted that the importer has provided certificate to the effect that the 15 items considered earlier had not been imported so far. The Committee also noted that all the items in both the applications have CEC from the exporting country and will be re-exported within 24 months. The Ministry therefore recommended the import (second hand/used cisco equipment).

**2.1.18 M/s Phantom Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi (No.23-41/2013-HSMD)**

**Decision:** Since the applicant did not turn up for the presentation, the decision was deferred.

**2.1.19 M/s Voith Hydro P. Ltd., Noida (No.23-179/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** Since the items are to be re-exported within three month from the date of issue of permission by the Ministry, the Committee recommended the import (measuring and assembling equipment).

**2.1.20 M/s Magneti Marelli UM Electronic Systems**

**Decision:** Since the items appear to have been imported, the date of manufacture is not indicated and justification for import without permission is not given, the Ministry suggested that the applicant may be called for presentation.

**2.1.21 M/s Mahmood Akhter, New Delhi (No.23-73/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** Since the items appear to have been imported and justification for import without permission is not given, the Committee suggested that the applicant may be called for presentation.

**2.1.22 M/s Agilent Technologies International P. Ltd., Gurgaon (No.23-55/2011-HSMD)**

**Decision:** There are two applications one for electro-chemical detector and second applications for 100 nos. of instruments/ equipment/ assemblies for test and measurement. The first item, namely, electro-chemical detector is 2012 make and is accompanied by CEC from the exporting country. The Ministry recommended its import. In respect of the 100 nos. of items, details like YOM and functionality certificate are not attached. The importer has been asked to obtain these details before import can be considered.

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 2.2.: IMPORT OF LEAD BATTERY/LEAD SCRAP/TITANIUM SCRAP ETC**

**2.2.1 M/s Noble Industries, Indore (M.P.) (No.23-249/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Ministry recommended the import of 1,000MT of Lead Scrap (Radio, Racks, Rakes, Ropes, Rents, Relay and Rails).

**2.2.2 M/s Stalwart Alloys India P. Ltd., Kurukshetra (Haryana) (No.23-268/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The committee could not understand the photographs nor the video was comprehensive. The Ministry therefore recommended that the applicant may be called for presentation.

**2.2.3 M/s Sither Metal Industry, District-Thoothukkudi (T.N.) (23-280/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee noted that the applicant's facility have requisite pollution control systems including alkaline scrubber, the Ministry recommended import of 2200 MT of Lead Scrap (ISRI Code: Rails) for manufacturing of lead ingots.

**2.2.4 M/s Jammu Pigments Pvt. Ltd., J&K. (23-58/2009-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee recommended inclusion of Rents as well in the permission granted by this Ministry earlier.

**2.2.5 M/s Sandeep Lead Alloys (India) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-252/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The information asked by the Committee is yet to be submitted by the applicant. The Ministry therefore deferred the decision.

**2.2.6 M/s Starlit Power Systems Ltd., Mewat (Haryana) (No.23-86/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The unit was inspected by the sub-committee and has suggested a number of improvements. While some of the improvements have already been implemented, the applicant has yet to provide the evidence of having implemented the following:

1. Charging point Mandir Bhatti should be provided with hopper connected with existing APCS.
2. Providing safe excess to port hole of chimney for monitoring.

The Ministry therefore deferred the decision.

**AGENDA NO. 2.3: IMPORT OF PET BOTTLE SCRAP/PLASTIC SCRAP/LDPE/POLYURETHANE FOAM SCRAP**

**2.3.1 M/s Shah Products, Jamnagar (No.5-13/2010-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The applicant was given permission for import of Acrylic Plastic Scrap for manufacturing of acrylic monomer earlier as well. The Committee now recommends import of acrylic plastic scrap for 50 MT.

**2.3.2 M/s Sitarganj Fibers Ltd., Udham Singh Nagar (Uttarakhand) (No.17-19/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee wanted to see the video presentation. However, the pen-drive submitted by the applicant could not be opened. The Ministry therefore recommends that a committee member, Shri G.S. Dang may be requested to visit the applicant's facility.

**2.3.3 M/s Dharmesh Textiles Ltd., Bhiwani (Haryana) (No.17-18/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The inspection was carried out. However, there is no communication from the applicant regarding having completed the improvements suggested during the site visit. It is recommended that the Ministry may write to the applicant to provide evidence of improvements carried out as spelt out in the site visit report. The Ministry deferred the decision till the evidence confirming the improvements in the facility is received.

**AGENDA NO.2.4: IMPORT OF RUBBER SCRAP ETC.**

**2.4.1 M/s Mounika Industry, Mahaboobnagar (Telangana State) (No.23-273/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee based on the video presentation recommended import of 1000 MT of used and old tyres scrap (Cut/shredded/Press baled) for manufacturing of Crumb Rubber Powder. However, subsequent applications will be entertained only after site visit by the zonal office of CPCB. Zonal Office, CPCB may therefore be asked to carry out inspection at an early date to assess various pollution control systems being used during the recycling process.

**2.4.2 M/s S&J Granulate Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (no.5-19/2011-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The information required by the Committee has not yet been submitted. The Committee, therefore, deferred the decision.

**2.4.3 M/s Vinora Industries, Chennai (No.23-136/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The report from Zonal Office of CPCB is awaited. Till then the case is deferred.

**2.4.4 M/s A.P. Rubber Industries, Haridwar (No.23-91/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The applicant had earlier been allowed import of 1200 MT which has already been imported. The capacity of the plant has now been increased as per the revised CTO. In view of this the Ministry recommends import of 3000 MT of used rubber tyres (Multiple cuts/press baled).

**2.4.5 M/s Mumbai Fabrics Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (No.23-73/2013-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Plant had earlier been visited and based on the visit, import of 20,000 MT of old/used tyres scrap/rubber scrap (Pressed/One cut/multicut/uncut/shredded/bags or loose) for manufacturing of crumb rubber has already been imported. The Ministry recommends 20,000 MT of old/used tyres scrap/rubber scrap (Pressed/One cut/multicut/shredded/bags or loose) for manufacturing of crumb rubber.

**2.4.6 M/s Tinna Rubber and Infrastructure Ltd., New Delhi (No.5-22/2010-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee noted that the applicant has been granted permission to import used tyres (Cut in 2/3 pcs.) for manufacturing of crumb rubber modified bitumen earlier as well. The Committee recommended import of 5000 MT of old/used Tyre Scrap (Cut in 2/3 pcs.) for manufacturing of crumb rubber modified bitumen.

**2.4.7 M/s Agarwal Reclaim & Rubber Products Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad. (No.23-282/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** After presentation by the applicant, the Committee noted that the video had captured only scrap tube processing. The facility for producing reclaimed rubber from used tyres was not shown. The Ministry therefore recommended import of 2000 MT of only scrap tubes/ used tubes. However, subsequent applications will be entertained only after site visit by the zonal office of CPCB. Zonal Office, CPCB may therefore be asked to carry out

inspection at an early date to assess various pollution control systems being used during the recycling process.

**2.4.8 M/s Shree Vinayak Enterprise, Rajkot (No.23-232/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** Based on the report of the site visit by the Zonal Office of CPCB, the Ministry recommended import of 15000 MT of used rubber tyres and tubes with one cut/multi cuts without cut, press and baled, shredded.

**2.4.9 M/s Sunflex Recycling Pvt. Ltd., Ahmedabad (No.23-235/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** Based on the report of the site visit by the Zonal Office of CPCB, the Ministry recommended import of 12,500 MT of used rubber tyres and Tubes with one cut/multi cuts without cut, press and baled, shredded.

**2.4.10 M/s Gennext Hitec Rubber Vellore (No.5-28/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee had earlier asked the applicant to make a presentation and also provide evidence of fibre emission control system. In response the applicant has sent a letter stating the system installed for tyre buffing dust. The Ministry therefore deferred the matter again asked the applicant to be called for presentation.

**2.4.11 M/s Terra Care, Yavatmal (Maharashtra (No.5-30/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** Presently, the import of used rubber tyres for production of Pyrolysis Oil is not being considered since comments from DGFT are awaited. The case is therefore deferred.

**2.4.12 M/s Neelam Rubbers, Balasore (Odisha) (No.5-30/2013-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The requisite information has not been provided by the applicant. The Committee therefore deferred the decision.

**2.4.13. M/s S.E. Power Ltd., Vadodara (No.5-36/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee had recommended import of 1000 MT of used/old Rubber Tyre Scrap/parings/tyre scraps rubber/tube scraps/cut into pcs/molded rubber waste and subsequent quantity to be recommended after site visit by zonal office of CPCB. Due to some misunderstanding, the site visit has not been conducted so far and the applicant has requested for site visit first and then releasing the NOC for the full quantity. The Committee agreed to this proposal. Accordingly the zonal office of CPCB may be asked to carry out the inspection at an early date and the case will be reconsidered on receipt of the report.

**AGENDA NO.2.5: IMPORT OF USED OIL ETC.**

**2.5.1 M/s Kheezar Industries, Bhavnagar (No.23-264/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The applicant has submitted the CTO valid up to 2019. The Committee recommended import of 3000 KL of used oil (A-3020 waste mineral oils unfit for their originally intended use).

**2.5.2 M/s Sainath Industrial Fuels Pvt. Ltd., Bhavnagar (NO.23-285/2014-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The committee noted that the applicant has applied for the first time and didn't turn up for presentation. Further, photographs and video CD of the running plant was also not furnished. The Ministry therefore deferred the decision.

**2.5.3 M/s Bharathi Cement Corporation Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (No.23-248/2013-HSMD).**

**Decision:** The applicant has now submitted the Form 7&8. The Ministry recommended the import of 500 MT of Solid Recovered Fuel (SRF) for trial with approval of CPCB.

**AGENDA NO.3: IMPORT OF ELECTRONIC WASTE ETC.****3.1.1 M/s Eco-Recycling Ltd., Mumbai (No.23-87/2012-HSMD)**

**Decision:** The Committee was shown a video presentation as well as given oral presentation for carrying out recycling of the electrical and electronic waste and recovery of various components and materials. It was informed that out of the total quantity, about 20% of the components are removed and sold as such. Out of the remaining quantity, metals like iron, copper, aluminium, plastic etc. are recovered and sold. The process of recovery of gold was also shown but the recovery of other metals like silver, copper, lead, tin, etc. was not shown. Moreover, most of the operations were shown to be manual which would not be feasible for a large scale recycling plant. The applicant has been asked to provide information of the total quantity taken for recycling and the various materials recovered and sold along with the waste generated for disposal. The disposal or utilization of glass was also not indicated. Various pollution control systems were also not shown. Overall, the Committee could not come to the conclusion at the present stage, whether the unit can be considered as having demonstrated the capability of environmental-friendly and comprehensive recycling to recover all the materials.

The Committee noted that another unit, namely, Attero Recycling, Roorkee is reported to have much bigger facility with mechanization of various recovery operations and recovering almost all the materials. The Committee therefore is of the view that a visit to Attero should be made by the Expert Committee to assess the actual status of recycling and the various environmental protection systems provided and to be able to have comparative evaluation.